

LEADER AND ELITE POSITIONING IN HIERARCHICAL SYSTEM OF POWER DISTRIBUTION: RUSSIAN OPTION

Ponedelkov Alexander,

Honored worker of science of the Russian Federation, political science professor, head of the political science and ethnopolitics department, The Russian academy of national economy and public service at the Russian President, ponedelkov@uriu.ranepa.ru

Starostin Alexander,

Doctor of political sciences, professor, director of Institute of cross-disciplinary researches of global processes and globalization, Rostov state economic university (RINH), amstar2912@mail.ru

Mamychev Alexey,

Doctor of political sciences, professor of department Vladivostok State University of Economy and Service mamychev@yandex.ru

Grigoryan David,

Candidate of political sciences, doctoral candidate of the Russian academy of national economy and public service of the Russian President, davo-davo23@mail.ru

Verenich Igor,

Undergraduate of the Moscow State Institute of International Relations (University) MFA of Russia, Verenigor@mail.ru

Abstract. In article evolution of leader and elite imperious positioning within a hierarchical system of the imperious relations is considered in the context of the designated world political dynamics ("power vertical"). It is specified change of model of leader representation of the leading head of the state (V. V. Putin): from constituent - to transformational [1]. On the basis of data of expert poll parallel changes in the regional and elite level - in their accompanying and interfering aspects are shown.

Keywords: leader and elite positioning, hierarchical system, poliarchaic system, constituent model, transformational model.

Introduction. Considerable changes in aspect of leader and elite positioning were outlined in a global and Russian political situation in recent years. In an essential measure (if not first of all) they act as an administrative projection of the developing world dynamics. It is about the accelerated structuring and considerable increase of influence of political actors on local and civilization, and regional level and redistribution of political and economic "poles" both in the world, and in the national and state dynamics. From here an escalating demand on political leaders of new-old type - leader types which, apparently, consigned far to the past: Stalin, Churchill, De Gaulle, Reagan, who not only were able to concentrate huge resources of the power in the hands but also accepted huge responsibility for the made decisions and their consequences for the nation and the whole world.

A new row opens, undoubtedly, V. V. Putin's figure which in world public opinion is perceived as one of the most influential types of the political leader. At the same time, thanks to the purposeful influence of globalist media this image is constantly demonized. But also the fact that already shown and observed the tendencies of world dynamics are oriented on such type combining the increased responsibility, suspension, but at the same time courage and determination in the made decisions and the subsequent actions is noticeable. The need for such type, the institutes and technologies promoting its formation is confirmed by growth of the number of representatives of the global political establishment (D. Trump, Xi Jinping, K. Erdogan).

Methods and materials. How can observed tendencies be explained within a political discourse of the modern political theory? It will be a question of the concept of leader and elite positioning in the context of hierarchical and poliarchaic systems of distribution of the power in case of elite approach to her understanding as it developed in line with the known polemic of Ch. Mills and R. Dahl and their followers. In this case, under "hierarchy" the system of the imperious relations allowing "unipolar" concentration of the power at traditional power institutes as opposed to "diversified" or "multi-polar" concentration of the power in key knots of social networks means. The analysis of the evolution of this discussion shows that it develops wavy. At the present stage enough the tendency gravitating to hierarchy in the distribution of the power considerably proves. As emphasized one of the largest modern elithology scientist Higley: "On the one hand, apparently, that the strengthening of "vertical" tendencies returns us to earlier stages of development of democracy and provides stronger leadership. On the other hand, the elements which are fastening elite and not allowing fight and disagreements to destabilize between them democratic policy are weakened" [2]. Similar to the fact that in the Russian option this tendency was shown much earlier and is caused not only subjective, but also objective factors. It is about the known concept of "power vertical" and the corresponding political practice which the famous Russian political analyst N. S. Leonov called "the main building of V. V. Putin" (See: [3]). Its manifestations were originally connected first of all with the immaturity of civil society and institutes of democracy and need of creation of guarantees of their formation and advance to more mature forms.

At the same time, it is necessary to emphasize that the liberal and critical thought gives an interpretation of a tendency to the hierarchical distribution of the power as unambiguously authoritative and not corresponding to democratic values.

That it is not indisputable and as it was already noted above, the hierarchical model and in the conditions of democratic political culture can have the essential hidden background. As for the Russian authoritarianism, it is caused not only a small experience of democratic management and not created modern democratic political culture, but also a number of the objective factors causing involvement of mobilization approaches to political management. In a domestic political discourse appeals to "the Russian model of management" [4] within which objectively caused stereotypes of behavior and the power, and the population are described: unstable (mobilization, emergency) and stable (stagnant). It is connected also with a geopolitical arrangement, and interactions of the country (See: [5]), and climatic features of the environment of existence.

Main part. Within built and transformed "power vertical" there is no system of leader and elite positioning invariable and. In relation to the first stages of development of system of positioning, in our opinion, the constituent model of political leadership acts as the most adequate model (See: [6]) where the most influential representatives of the business elite and political elite act as constituents. Plots in which it was described which of oligarchs and the immediate environment of V. V. Putin influences the adoption of significant decisions were very widespread in our domestic political analytics and journalism of 2000-2007. So, N. S. Leonov provides words and estimates from one very frank interview of O. Deripaska: "When the journalist asked O. Deripaska directly whether V. Putin is a manager or itself makes decisions, the oligarch with skill answered: "The president of Russia is the some kind of a top manager operating of all countries. He is the clever adequate person never exceeding limits of the powers ... Under it is possible to give money that we also do. We are the Russian real power. Large business is a part of our technology" [3].

However, after a decade the situation even if it originally also corresponded to O. Deripaska's vision and some other constituents, significantly exchanged. It's not just that almost all former structure of elite constituents changed and mostly was drawn. Orientations, characteristics of the political leader, which became closer to another type which the authoritative researcher of political leadership J. Burns called transformational (see changed: [1]) at which the governor heading the state increases the moral level of the people and, thanks to it, is improved itself (see in more detail [7]). In this case there is an advance from the status and position elite type of the leader to an archeological status type within which high creative, meritocratic, manipulative qualities and characteristics are capitalized that allows to expand significantly a circle of constituents, considering as the main political customer of all citizens of the state. This stage is fixed by political analysts, analyzing the most important messages of the last presidential message of V. V. Putin to Federal Assembly of the Russian Federation 2016: "Practically in each subject of the performance Putin emphasized that the people are a customer of these or those changes and his interests are higher than the interests of the elite and all systems of a state administration" [8]. The specified evolution results within the same hierarchical system ("power vertical"). And at the appropriate potential of the political leader there is his transformation in originally national leader. It is remarkable that a number of foreign researchers analyzed also a transformation of the socio-political role repertoire of the authoritative political leader which provides a timely change of registers of the highest administrative and political management. So, Fiona Hill and Clifford Gaddy point to six masks roles of V. Putin providing his ascension to tops of the power: "Statesman", "Market expert", "Expert in survival", "Resident", "Stranger", and "Person Stories" [9]. And what occurs at the regional leader and elite level? And how it reacts to changes in the top management? Analyzing the current state of elite interaction and their efficiency at the regional level in modern Russia, we conducted expert survey by the technically compatible with the main tools of the Rostov scientific ethologic school [10, 11] also received, first, confirmation to the general estimated judgments stated above, and, secondly, rather detailed characteristic of a modern ethologic situation in data of a survey conducted in August, 2016 in 3 Russian regions with a number of experts - more than 100 among which the state and municipal heads and employees, representatives of regional administrative and political elite [12].

However, judging by the reviews and estimates of experts of valuable orientations of the regional elite (and it is representatives to the basic of subelite groups which know the chiefs not from words, and on affairs), it is not democratic elite, not statesmen and not patriots. And, judging by estimates, intensive fight against corruption and bureaucracy in the domestic elite environment is necessary still long.

What factors, determinants promote formation of such dominating valuable installations? The expert positions ranged after processing and noted in the following polling block can serve as the answer to this question: "Note, please, the factors reducing efficiency of actions of representatives of regional political and administrative elite? (To give no more than 3-4 possible answers)" (see table 1).

Table 1

Possible answers	% of answers
1. Corruption	77,1
2. A lead of the power from the people	60,0
3. Bureaucratization of authorities	34,3
4. Low authority of heads	20,0
5. Low professionalism of employees, performers	20,0
6. Weak financial and material resources	17,1
7. Insufficiently developed standard and legal base	17,1

It is easy to notice that experts see the main reasons for the inefficiency of the elite not in objective, institutional, external factors, and at the low level of the human capital. It is obvious, difficult to expect some noticeable return at such quality of human material and its spirit in the solution of problems of modernization of the country, an exit from social and economic recession. It is also fixed by experts at the answer to a question: "As if you estimated a contribution of political and administrative elite to innovative development of Russia?" (See table 2).

Table 2

Possible answers	% of answers
1. As insufficient	54,3
2. As hardly noticeable	22,9
3. As considerable	14,3
4. Elite just brakes innovations	5,7
5. I am at a loss with the answer	2,9

Saw relevancy of a contribution only 1 of each 7 experts. Positions of the vast majority - skeptical and critical. It is thought that not so the famous politician and the liberal G. A. Yavlinsky who, reflecting on where there is a country at such elite deals, called the latest book "Peripheral authoritarianism is far from the truth. As well as where Russia came" [13]. But the main design advising layer concerning the strategy of social and economic and political development in us former, as well as 20 years ago, remains liberal. The Russian President continues to be guided by these recommendations. And only when they lead up a blind alley is forced to become the crisis managing director for what is exposed to liberal criticism as allegedly the adherent of authoritarianism. But the political practice of the last years more and more moves to search of other recipes in development strategies. Nevertheless, despite sanctions and lectures from the West, inertia of the former approaches remains considerable. And it is still focused on foreign sources. About what our experts were not slow to note, answering a question: "Estimate extent of influence of the foreign organizations on the formation of modernization installations of the modern Russian elite?" (See table 3).

Table 3

Possible answers	% of answers
1. Average	34,3
2. High	31,4
3. Low	28,6
4. I find it difficult to answer	5,7

In other words 2/3 experts are convinced of westernized valuable installations of the modern Russian elite in search of new development strategies. Though it is already obvious that the support on these recipes leads the country to stagnation and degradation.

It was important to find out also as far as the potential of the resilience of elite to the progressive and national focused searches disturbs experts. In this case the following question of the questionnaire was used: "In Russia always considerable specific weight was occupied by latent and shadow relationship in the environment of a ruling elite layer. Therefore, many high-quality changes in system of the Russian power in Perestroika years and post-Perestroika years of the end of the 20th century were unexpected and tragic for our society. What probability of such cardinal transformations in the next years? How it seems to you?" (See table 4).

Table 4

Possible answers	% of answers
1. Such changes are possible, but their probability low	34,3
2. Probability average in the mode "fifty on fifty"	25,7
3. The probability is almost zero	25,7
4. Cardinal changes are possible and their probability is high	5,7
5. Cardinal changes are inevitable and their probability is very high	2,9
6. I find it difficult to answer	5,7

Though ¼ experts do not see the danger from "the fifth column". But more than 1/3 consider such changes very probable. So to the interests of the global elite our experts estimate the potential of aiming at changes of strategy of the elite towards submission as significant.

Conclusions

Summarizing the given fragment of results of expert poll in the context of the problems put in the article, it is necessary to emphasize that the strategy search conducting in a democratic society in Post-Soviet Russia is far from end. On the contrary, in modern circumstances the circle of problems which demand the strengthening of these searches, deviation from recipes of the western and westernized liberalism, the appeal to own historical experience, including technologies of formation of the national focused state elite and actively influencing them and their list of regional leaders and the national leader was designated.

References

1. Burns, J.M.G. (1978). *Leadership*. New York: Harper & Row.
2. Higley, J. (2006). *Democracy and Elites*, in Fredrik Engelstad, Trygve Gulbrandsen (ed.) *Comparative Studies of Social and Political Elites (Comparative Social Research, Volume 23)* Emerald Group Publishing Limited, pp. 29–30.
3. Leonov, H.C. (2013). *What else can Putin do?* M.: Algorithm publishing house. p. 30-181.
4. Prokhorov, A.P. (2002). *Russian Model of Management*. – Moscow, *Expert Magazine*, p. 121.
5. Shevchenko, V.N. (2013). *Dynamics of interaction of internal and external factors and vector of development of the Russian society*. M.: Prod. Ying RAS. 233 pages.
6. Krutko, L.S. (2011). *Constituent model of political leadership*. Abstract Sci PhD. Rostov N / D. of 24 pages.
7. Jankovic, R.M. (2012). *Political leadership, comparison of tendencies in the USA, Germany and Austria. Politician and personality*. Kharkiv: Prod. "The humanitarian center". Page 188-193.
8. Ivanter, A., & Rogozhnikov, M. (2016). *Atmosphere of common causes, the consolidated society, the bridled elite and an enterprise class are urged to become subjects of a new cycle of development of the country*. - *Expert*. No. 49 (on December 5-11). p. 16.
9. Hill, F., & Gaddy, K. (2016). *Six masks of Vladimir Putin*. M.: Eksmo's publishing house. 480 pages.
10. Ponedelkov, A.V., & Starostin A.M. (2014). *Leader and elite projection of political processes of modern Russia: results of researches of the last 20 anniversary (1994-2014)*. Rostov N / D.: YRIU RANEPА publishing house. pp. 5-18.
11. Ponedelkov, A.V., Starostin A.M., & Yalansky A.P. (2016). *Elite and strategies of development for modern Russia. Information and analytical materials to the II All-Russian elithologic congress*. Rostov N / D.: YRIU RANEPА publishing house.
12. Ponedelkov A.V., & Starostin A.M. (2017). *Modern Russian elite representation in expert measurement*. *Communicology*. No. 1.
13. Yavlinsky, G.A. (2016). *Peripheral authoritarianism, as well as where Russia came*. M.: Medium. 264 pages.